C&RSA&DHM algorithms – the shortests course. . .

Przemysław Śliwiński

Part I RSA & Cocks

Algorithm 1 (Rivest, Shamir, Adleman, 1977 & Cocks 1973) Let p and q be a pair of primes. Let n = pq. We will need the value of the Euler's totient function $\varphi(n)$, that yields a number of coprime numbers less than n. It happens that for two primes, p, q, the formula is particularly simple, i.e. we have that

$$\varphi(n) = (p-1)(q-1).$$

To create the first pair of encryption keys we need to find any number $e : e \perp \varphi(n)$, that is, that e and $\varphi(n)$ are relatively prime, i.e. e is coprime with $\varphi(n)$ (their greatest common divisor equals one; viz. $gcd(e,\varphi(n)) = 1$). The pair (e,n) is the first (either public or private) key. To get the other key we need to find a number d, which is a multiplicative inverse of e modulo $\varphi(n)$, i.e. we are looking for d such that¹

$$(d \cdot e) \operatorname{mod} \varphi(n) = 1.$$

The pair (d, n) is the other (either private or public) key. Now, to encode (encrypt) the message m, it suffices to perform a single operation employing one key (e, n)

$$c = m^e \mod n.$$

To decipher (decrypt) it, one just needs the corresponding pair (d, n) since

 $m = c^d \mod n.$

¹Operation $a \mod p$ is just a rest of integer division of a by p, that is $a \mod p = a - \left| \frac{a}{p} \right| p$.

Proof. In order to verify the RSA algorithm, we merely need to verify the last equality, that is, the fact that

$$(c^d \mod n)^e \mod n = m^{ed} \mod n = m$$

To this end, we need to recall the following properties of the RNS arithmetic:

- 1. The Fermat Little Theorem stating that if $a \perp p$ then $a^{p-1} \mod p = 1$.
- 2. The Chinese Remainder Theorem which states that for $N = n_1 \cdots n_r$, where $n_i \perp n_j$ for $i \neq j$, and for any k, l < N, we have the following equivalences

 $k \equiv l \mod N \Leftrightarrow k \equiv l \mod n_i$ and for each $i = 1, \ldots, r$.

Clearly, in our case, that is for r = 2, it reduces to a simple logical conjuction

 $k \equiv l \operatorname{mod} pq \Leftrightarrow k \equiv l \operatorname{mod} p \land k \equiv l \operatorname{mod} q.$

3. The basic fact that $a^p \mod q = (a \mod q)^p \mod q = (\prod_{i=1}^p a \mod q) \mod q = (\prod_{i=1}^p a \mod q) \mod q = (a \mod q \cdots a \mod q) \mod q$.

- 4. The observation that $ed 1 = h\varphi(pq)$ for some (unknown) $h \in \mathcal{N}$, which holds because $ed \mod \varphi(pq) = 1$, and thus $(ed \mod \varphi(pq) - 1) \mod \varphi(pq) =$ $0 = h\varphi(pq))$
- 5. Eventually:

$$m^{ed} \operatorname{mod} q = (m^{ed-1}) m \operatorname{mod} q$$
$$= (m^{h\varphi(pq)}) m \operatorname{mod} q$$
$$= (m^{h(p-1)(q-1)}) m \operatorname{mod} q$$
$$= (m^{(q-1)})^{h(p-1)} m \operatorname{mod} q$$
$$= (1)^{h(p-1)} m \operatorname{mod} q = m \operatorname{mod} q.$$

6. Verification that $m^{ed} \mod p = m \mod p$ is left to the reader...

Algorithm 2 (Digital signature aka fingerprint) Compute a hash value of m and encrypt it using a private key (e, n) or (d, n).

Example 3 Let p = 11 and q = 7. Then n = pq = 77 and the corresponding totient function of n, is

$$\varphi(n) = (p-1)(q-1) = 60.$$

Let now e = 17. Indeed, gcd (17, 60) = 1, that is, both numbers are relatively prime. Clearly, d = 53. So the keys are (17, 77) and (53, 77). Let m = 61, then $c = 61^{17} \mod 77 = 52$ and $52^{53} \mod 77 = 61 = m$.

To compute both gcd and multiplicative inverse one can use the compilationtime recursive template programming tricks:

```
template <int k, int l>
struct gcd
{
   enum { value = gcd<l, k % l>::value };
};
template <int k>
struct gcd<k, 0>
{
   enum { value = k };
};
template <long w, long M, long k = w, long = 0>
struct mul_inv
{
   enum
   {
     res = (k - 1) * M % w
  };
   enum
   {
     mi = mul_inv<w, M, k - 1, res>::mi
   };
};
template <long w, long M, long k>
struct mul_inv<w, M, k, 1>
{
   enum { mi = k };
};
template <long M, long k, long res>
struct mul_inv<1, M, k, res>
{
   enum { mi = 1 };
};
```

Example 4 (Multiplication in a cloud) Let again p = 11 and q = 7. Then n = pq = 77 and the corresponding totient function of n, is

$$\varphi(n) = (p-1)(q-1) = 60.$$

Let now e = 17. Indeed, gcd(17, 60) = 1, that is, both numbers are relatively prime. Clearly, the multiplicative inverse, d = 53. So the public key is (17, 77) and the private one is (53, 77).

1. Let $m_1 = 11$ and $m_2 = 3$, then their **encoded** values (using a public key) are

$$c_1 = 11^{17} \mod 77 = 44$$
 and $c_2 = 3^{17} \mod 77 = 75$,

respectively.

2. Now c_1 and c_2 are sent to the cloud and there they are multiplied there

$$c_{12} = 44 * 75 = 3300.$$

3. The product $c_{12} = 3300$ (or $3300 \mod 77 = 66$) is sent back and we can **de-code** it (using a private key) as if it is a message, so $m = 3300^{53} \mod 77 = 33$ (or, equivalently, $66^{53} \mod 77 = 33$).

Part II DHM & Cocks

Definition 5 A number g is a primitive root modulo p if every number n, coprime to p, is congruent to a power of g modulo p. If p is prime, then powers of g generate all numbers $1, \ldots, p-1$ (albeit in a 'random' order).

Algorithm 6 (Diffie-Hellman-Merkle, 1976 & Cocks, 1969) Let Alice and Bob publicly select p and g, and (in pectore) the private numbers a and b. Then, they compute the public messages

 $A = g^a \mod p \text{ and } B = g^b \mod p,$

send them to each other, and compute their common secret key s

$$s = B^a \mod p \text{ and } s = A^b \mod p.$$

Example 7 Let p = 23 and g = 5 (verify that g is indeed a primitive root modulo p). Alice chooses a = 11 and Bob b = 8. Hence, Alice sends

$$A = 5^{11} \mod 23 = 22$$

 $and \ Bob \ sends$

 $B = 5^8 \mod 23 = 16.$

Then Alice and Bob compute

$$s = 16^{11} \mod 23 = 1$$
 and $s = 22^8 \mod 23 = 1$,

and both have the same (random) secret number s = 1, which they can use as a key in e.g. AES.

Proof. Observe that

$$s = A^b \mod p$$

= $(g^a \mod p)^b \mod p = g^{ab} \mod p = g^{ba} \mod p$
= $(g^b \mod p)^a = B^a \mod p.$

Part III

Hamming codes (no Cooks!)

TBF...