

# A detailed analysis of the Daubechies and Sweldens algorithm of wavelet filter factorization into lifting steps

PMS

October 13, 2011

## Abstract

The factoring algorithm proposed by Daubechies and Sweldens, [?] is analyzed and decomposed to the step-by-step form enabling a direct implementation in *Mathematica* or *Maple* script.

**Factoring into lifting steps.** Below we analyze the algorithm in a detailed manner. Assume we have the initial filters (or, equivalently, their  $Z$ -transforms in a form of *Laurent polynomials*)

$$h(z) \text{ and } g(z) = z^{-1}h(-z^{-1})$$

- The crucial operation consists in decomposition of the polyphase representation of  $h(z)$ , viz.  $[h_e \ h_o]^T$  into lifting steps<sup>1</sup>.
- Using a matrix notation the *Euclidean algorithm* can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} h_e(z) \\ h_o(z) \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{i=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} q_i(z) & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\{q_i\}$  is a sequence of quotients produced by the algorithm for polynomials  $h_e(z)$  and  $h_o(z)$ , and where  $K$  is their gcd.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>The index  $e$  stands for even elements ("evens") of  $h(z)$  and  $o$  for odd ones ("odds")

$$h_e(z^2) = \frac{h(z) + h(-z)}{2} \text{ and } h_o(z^2) = \frac{h(z) - h(-z)}{2z^{-1}}$$

<sup>2</sup>The algorithm is given recursively

$$q_i(z) = a_i(z) \operatorname{div} b_i(z)$$

where

$$a_{i+1}(z) = b_i(z) \text{ and } b_{i+1}(z) = a_i(z) \operatorname{mod} b_i(z)$$

with  $a_1(z) = h_e(z)$  and  $b_1(z) = h_o(z)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n (= |h_o(z)| + 1)$ .

- The tricky identities are applied now (*i.e.* column and row swapping with the help of *permutation matrix*)

$$\begin{bmatrix} q_i(z) & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & q_i(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q_i(z) & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

to yield the factorization which starts to mimic a lifting and dual lifting steps

$$\begin{bmatrix} h_e(z) \\ h_o(z) \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & q_{2i-1}(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q_{2i}(z) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- In general,  $n$  does not need to be an even number for a given filter  $h(z)$ . If it is odd, we can multiply  $h(z)$  by  $z$  and  $g(z)$  by  $z^{-1}$  (which, corresponds to shifting the filters  $h$  and  $g$  by 2).
- If we now replace  $\begin{bmatrix} K & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$  by  $\begin{bmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & 1/K \end{bmatrix}$  we obtain the matrix

$$P^0(z) = \begin{bmatrix} h_e(z) & g_e^0(z) \\ h_o(z) & g_o^0(z) \end{bmatrix}$$

in which  $g_e^0(z)$  and  $g_o^0(z)$  are polyphase representations of a filter  $g^0(z)$  (which is not known and does not need to be known, actually). Since  $P^0(z)$  has (by its construction) the determinant 1 (note that for odd  $n$  the determinant would have been  $-1$ ), then  $g_o(z)$  is complementary to  $h(z)$  and one step is sufficient to lift  $g^0(z)$  to  $g(z)$

$$g(z) = g^0 + h(z) s(z^2)$$

where  $s(z)$  is some Laurent polynomial (not known either).

- Recall finally that, in a matrix form, this lifting step is given as

$$P(z) = P^0(z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & s(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- All these facts eventually lead to the factorization

$$P(z) = \begin{bmatrix} h_e(z) & g_e(z) \\ h_o(z) & h_o(z) \end{bmatrix} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & q_{2i-1}(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q_{2i}(z) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & 1/K \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & s(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which, in the article by Daubechies and Sweldens, [?], has the equivalent, but far less explicit, form

$$P(z) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \begin{bmatrix} 1 & s_i(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t_i(z) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & 1/K \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where  $m = n/2 + 1$ ,  $s_i(z) = q_{2i-1}(z)$ ,  $t_i(z) = q_{2i}(z)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n/2$ , and where  $s_m(z) = K^2 s(z)$  and  $t_m(z) = 0$ . To see this equivalence we rewrite it to the following form

$$P(z) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & s_i(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t_i(z) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & K^2 s(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & 1/K \end{bmatrix}$$

and recall the trivial identity

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & K^2 s(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & 1/K \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & 1/K \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & s(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Note that the factorization (1) is not yet complete since the polynomial  $s(z)$  remains unknown. To find it we merely need to perform the (right and left) multiplications by the inverses of the product

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & q_{2i-1}(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q_{2i}(z) & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and by the rightmost diagonal matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & K^2 \cdot s(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & q_{2i-1}(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q_{2i}(z) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right]^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} h_e(z) & g_e(z) \\ h_o(z) & g_o(z) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{K} & 0 \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}$$

- Recalling that these inverses are of particularly simple forms

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & q_{2i-1}(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -q_{2i-1}(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q_{2i}(z) & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -q_{2i}(z) & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and applying another well known relation

$$(A_1 \cdots A_n)^{-1} = A_n^{-1} \cdots A_1^{-1}$$

we obtain the inverse of the product

$$\left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & q_{2i-1}(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q_{2i}(z) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right]^{-1} = \prod_{i=n/2}^1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -q_{2i}(z) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -q_{2i-1}(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Finally,  $s(z)$  can be computed from the formula

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & K^2 \cdot s(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \left( \prod_{i=n/2}^1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -q_{2i}(z) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -q_{2i-1}(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} h_e(z) & g_e(z) \\ h_o(z) & g_o(z) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{K} & 0 \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}$$

after performing all multiplications on right-hand side and dividing the corresponding matrix entry by a scalar  $K^2$ . Substituting  $s_m(z)$  by the just computed  $s(z)$  in (1) accomplishes the factorization. ■

**Remark 1** *One can use again the form*

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} q_i(z) & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

*instead of the more elaborated*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & q_{2i-1}(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q_{2i}(z) & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

*when computing  $s(z)$ . This alternative formula is therefore simpler a bit*

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & K^2 \cdot s(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -q_i(z) \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} h_e(z) & g_e(z) \\ h_o(z) & g_o(z) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{K} & 0 \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}$$